# A MINIMAL PRIME MODEL WITH AN INFINITE SET OF INDISCERNIBLES

## BY LEO MARCUS

#### ABSTRACT

We show the existence of a prime minimal model containing an infinite set of indiscernibles. We then find a sentence of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  which is categorical in  $\omega_1$  but whose model of power  $\omega_1$  is not  $(\omega_1, L_{\omega_1\omega})$ -homogeneous. This answers a question posed by Keisler.

1. The purpose of this paper is to answer negatively the following question of Keisler from [2] page 101\*: Let T be a countable set of sentences of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  which is  $\omega_1$ -categorical. Is the model of T of power  $\omega_1$  ( $\omega_1, L_{\omega_1\omega}$ )-homogeneous? The crucial result is the following theorem, which answers a question asked by Victor Harnik.

THEOREM. There exists a countable first order theory having a prime minimal model which contains an infinite set of indiscernibles.

For other examples of minimal models, see Fuhrken [1].

The author is indebted to Saharon Shelah for pointing out that the above theorem can be used to answer Keisler's question and for many other valuable suggestions. Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, M, N models, and |M|, |N| their universe sets. A theory T is  $\kappa$ -categorical if every two models of T of power  $\kappa$  are isomorphic. If  $A \subset |M|$  and f is a function from A into |N| then f is said to be an  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ -elementary mapping from (A,M) into |N| if for every formula  $\phi(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in L_{\omega_1\omega}$  and for any  $a_1,\dots,a_n\in A$ ,  $M\models\phi[a_1,\dots,a_n]$  iff  $N\models\phi[f(a_1),\dots,f(a_n)]$ . A model M is  $(\kappa,L_{\omega_1\omega})$ -homogeneous if for every  $A\subset |M|$  of power  $<\kappa$  and  $b\in |M|$  every  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ -elementary mapping from (A,M) into |M| can be extended to an  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ -elementary mapping of  $A\cup\{b\}$  into |M|. M is a

<sup>\*</sup> The same example of our section 3 also answers the questions stated by Keisler on page 100 and in remark 3 on page 123 of [2].

Received January 11, 1972.

prime model if  $N \equiv M \Rightarrow M$  is isomorphic to a (first order) elementary submodel of N. The first order formula  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  isolates the type of  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  in M if  $M \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  and for all  $a'_1, \dots, a'_n \in |M|$ ,  $M \models \phi[a'_1, \dots, a'_n] \Rightarrow \langle a'_1, \dots, a'_n \rangle$  and  $\langle a'_1, \dots, a'_n \rangle$  realize the same type in M. M is atomic if every finite sequence in M realizes an isolated type in M.

For results on prime models, especially that M is prime iff M is countable and atomic, see [3]. M is minimal if  $N \rightarrow M \Rightarrow N$  is M.  $A \subset |M|$  is a set of indiscernibles if for every distinct  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  and distinct  $a'_1, \dots, a'_n \in A$  and first order formula  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n), M \models \phi [a_1, \dots, a_n]$  iff  $M \models \phi [a'_1, \dots a'_n]$ . For definition of other concepts consult the references.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

We define inductively a sequence of sets  $\{B_i: i < \omega\}$  and a sequence of first order languages  $\{L_i: i < \omega\}$  such that:

- a)  $B_0$  is an infinite set,  $L_0$  is  $\{=, P_0(x)\}$ .  $(B_0$  will turn out to be the set of indiscernibles).  $P_0(x)$  iff  $x \in B_0$ .
- b) For all  $b \in B_{i+1}$ ,  $c \in B_i$  there is a predicate  $\theta(x_1, x_2) \in L_{i+1}$  such that  $\theta(b, x_2)$  iff  $x_2 = c$ . (Every element of  $B_{i+1}$  will define every element of  $B_i$ ).
- c) Let H be the set of permutations of  $B_0$  which move only a finite number of elements. For all  $f \in H$  and  $i < \omega$  there is a unique extension of f to an automorphism  $f^{(i)}$  of  $\bigcup_{i \le i} B_i$ . Let  $H^{(i)} = \{f^{(i)} : f \in H\}$ .
  - d) For all  $i \in \omega$  there is a predicate  $P_i(x) \in L_i$  such that  $P_i(x)$  iff  $x \in B_i$ .
- e) For all 0 < i,  $n < \omega$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \bigcup_{j \le i} B_j$  there is a predicate  $R(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L_i$  such that  $R(b'_i, \dots, b'_n)$  iff there is an automorphism  $f \in H^{(i)}$  of  $\bigcup_{j \le i} B_j$ ,  $f(b_i) = b'_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . (The  $R(\dots)$ 's will be names for the types realized in  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} B_i$ ).
  - f)  $B_i$  and  $L_i$  are countable.

The definition proceeds as follows:  $B_0$ ,  $L_0$  are already defined and obviously satisfy the conditions. Now assume that  $\bigcup_{j \leq i} B_j$ ,  $\bigcup_{j \leq i} L_j$  are defined as required. Let b be a new element and for every  $c \in B_i$  let  $\theta_{bc}(x_1, x_2)$  be a new predicate symbol. Define  $\theta_{bc}(b, x_2)$  iff  $x_2 = c$ . Let  $H^{(i)} = \{f_n : n < \omega\}$  be the set of automorphisms we must extend to  $B_{i+1}$ . (There are just  $\aleph_0$  because of the uniqueness condition in c)). Clearly H is a group under composition. By the uniqueness condition,  $H^{(i)}$  is also. Let  $B_{i+1} = \{b_f : f \in H^{(i)}\}$  and define the relations  $\theta_{bc} = \{\langle b_f, f(c) \rangle : f \in H^{(i)}\}$ . Then  $B_{i+1}$  satisfies c) where the unique extension of  $g \in H^{(i)}$  to  $B_{i+1}$  is given by  $g(b_f) = b_g \cdot f$ , and it is easily seen that b) is satisfied.

Let  $P_{i+1}(x)$  be a new predicate symbol such that  $P_{i+1}(x)$  iff  $x \in B_{i+1}$ . Now define relations  $R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on  $\bigcup_{j < i+1} B_j$  as required by e). Let  $L_{i+1} = \{\theta_{bc}(x_1, x_2) : c \in B_i\} \cup \{R_{\bar{b}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) : n < \omega, \ \bar{b} = \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle, \ b_k \in \bigcup_{j \le i+1} B_j \} \cup \{P_{i+1}(x)\}.$ 

Let  $M = \langle \bigcup_{i < \omega} B_i, \bigcup_{i \le \omega} L_i \rangle$ .

M is prime: Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in |M|$ . Assume  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \bigcup_{j \le i} B_j$ . Let  $R(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L_i$  be the associated predicate from e). If  $R(b'_1, \dots, b'_n)$  then  $b'_1, \dots, b'_n \in \bigcup_{j \le i} B_j$  and there is an automorphism  $f \in H^{(i)}$  which takes  $b_l$  to  $b'_l (1 \le l \le n)$ . f may be extended to  $\bigcup_{l < \omega} B_l$  and so  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$  and  $\langle b'_1, \dots, b'_n \rangle$  realize the same type. In other words  $R_b(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  isolates the type of  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$ . So M is atomic. As M is obviously countable, M is prime.

M is minimal: Let  $c \in M$ ,  $N \rightarrow M$ . We must show  $c \in N$ . Assume  $c \in B_i$ .  $N \models (\exists x)P_{i+1}(x)$ ; if b is such an x, then there is  $\theta(x_1, x_2)$  such that  $M \models (\exists x_1)\theta(b, x_2) \land \theta(b, c)$ . So c must be in N.

 $A=B_0$  is a set of indiscernibles: By c) for every distinct  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  and distinct  $a_1', \dots, a_n' \in A$  the map  $a_i \to a_i'$  may be extended to a permutation in H which in turn may be extended to an automorphism of  $\bigcup_{i<\omega} B_i$ . So every two finite sequences of the same length from A realize the same type.

Thus M is the required model and the proof is complete.

- 3. Now we answer Keisler's questions. Let  $\psi \in L_{\omega_1 \omega}$  be a Scott sentence of M (see [2]). Let T be a theory in  $L_{\omega_1 \omega}$  which has one equivalence relation for which each equivalence class is a model of  $\psi$ . In particular each equivalence class is atomic, and since M is minimal each equivalence class is countable.\* Hence each equivalence class is isomorphic to M, and thus T is categorical in every uncountable power. So N, T's model in  $\omega_1$ , is made up of  $\omega_1$  equivalence classes  $\{M_i \colon i < \omega_1\}$  each isomorphic to M. Let A be the set of indiscernibles in  $M_0$  and let  $a \in A$ . If f is a 1-1 map of  $A-\{a\}$  onto A then f is  $L_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -elementary since for any  $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in A-\{a\}$  there is an automorphism of  $M_0$  taking  $a_1$  onto  $f(a_1)$   $(1 \le l \le n)$ . But f cannot be extended to an  $L_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -elementary mapping of A into |N|. So N is not  $(\omega_1, L_{\omega_1 \omega})$ -homogeneous.
- 4. The following theorem of Shelah gives a positive answer to a weaker version of Keisler's question.

<sup>\*</sup> For if  $M_1$  is an uncountable equivalence class then there are  $M_2 \underset{\#}{\longrightarrow} M_3 \underset{\#}{\longrightarrow} M_1$  where  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are countable;  $M_3$  is isomorphic to M, contradicting M's minimality.

Theorem (Shelah) Let  $\Phi \in L_{\omega_1\omega}$  be an  $\omega_1$ -categorical sentence having models of arbitrarily large power;  $M \models \Phi$ , M of power  $\omega_1$ . Then there is a countable language  $L' \subset L_{\omega_1\omega}$ ,  $\Phi \in L'$ , such that

- (i) M is  $(\omega_0, L')$ -homogeneous.
- (ii) If  $N_1 \prec_{L'} M$ ,  $N_2 \prec_{L'} M$  where  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  are countable, then every isomorphism of  $N_1$  onto  $N_2$  can be extended to an automorphism of M.
- 5. We can also prove the categoricity of T in the following way. It can be shown that the minimality of any specific minimal model, for example our M, is expressible by a sentence of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ , and since  $\psi$  is a complete theory in  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ , every model of  $\psi$  is minimal, hence countable. Thus T's categoricity follows just from M's minimality.

In order to get that the map f in 3) is  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ -elementary we just need that there is an automorphism of  $M_0$  taking  $a_l$  onto  $f(a_l)$ . This follows from M's being (first order)  $\omega$ -homogeneous. In other words the whole construction in 3) will work for any homogeneous minimal model with an infinite set of indiscernibles.

### REFERENCES

- 1.\* G. Fuhrken, Minimal-und Primmodelle, Arch. Math. Logik Grundlagenforsch. 9 (1963), 3-11.
  - 2. H. J. Keisler, Model Theory for Infinitary Logic, North-Holland, 1971.
- 3. R. Vaught, Denumerable models of complete theories, *Infinitistic Methods*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1961, 303-321.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM

<sup>\*</sup> There is an error on p. 7 where it is claimed that T has exactly three non-isomorphic minimal models. T in fact has  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .